

## ACCA Think Ahead

# Strategic Business Reporting - International (SBR - INT)

March/June 2021 (20/21 Syllabus)


#### Get to know your exam

These graphical representations are intended to give an indication of past exam requirements and associated question content.

*Please note that you will not be able to complete answers within these documents and in isolation they will not sufficiently prepare you for your exam.* 

We encourage you to visit the ACCA Practice Platform in order to attempt up to date practice exams within the computer-based exam environment. Further instructions on how to use the platform will be provided before you attempt the exam.

#### **Introduction screen**



- In the live exam your answers entered into the workspace response options will be expert-marked. At the end of this exam, you should use the solution material provided to assess your performance.
- You will be able to access solution material at the end of this exam when using the Self Marking resources which include a Marking Guide and Sample Answer for each question. If you wish to access these without completing the questions, click on Ere End Exam on the Item Review Screen and navigate to the Marking tab on the Dashboard to Self-Mark.

#### Exam summary screen

 SBR-INT March/June 2021 (2021 syllabus)

 Exam Summary

 Time allowed: This specimen exam is not timed.

 This exam is divided into two sections:

 Section A

 • Two questions worth 50 marks in total.

 Section B

 • Two questions, each worth 25 marks.

 • 50 marks in total.

 All questions are compulsory.

 Select Next to start your exam.

 $\leftarrow$  Previous Next  $\rightarrow$ 

This exam makes reference to trade marks which are owned by the IFRS Foundation, and used by ACCA under licence. The IFRS Foundation has trade marks registered around the world including: 'IAS®', 'IFRIC®', 'IFRS®', the IFRS® logo, 'IFRS for SMEs®', IFRS for SMEs®', IFRS for SMEs® logo, the 'Hexagon Device', 'International Accounting Standards®', 'International Financial Reporting Standards®', 'NIF®' and 'SIC®'. Further details of the IFRS Foundation's trade marks are available from the IFRS Foundation on request.

#### Exam summary screen (continued)

#### **Section A**

SBR-INT March/June 2021 (20/21 syllabus)

#### Section A

This section of the exam contains two questions.

This exam section is worth 50 marks in total.

#### Important:

In your live exam, you should:

- Indicate which requirement each of your responses relate to so that this is clear for markers.
- Show all notes/workings that you want the marker to see within your responses. Remember, any notes/workings made on the Scratch Pad or on your workings paper will not be marked.

Select Next to continue.

#### Scenario 1 – Initial screen

		🖵 1 of 4
i Symbol 🔽 ▼ Highlight ∓ Strikethrough 🖩 Calculator 🖉 Scratch Pad		🗵 Close All 🏳 Flag for Revie
Exhibits	Columbia Co is the parent of a listed group which operates within the telecommunications industry. During the year ended 31 December 20X5 Columbia Co acquired a new subsidial pension scheme. The group's current year end is 31 December 20X5.	ry and made adjustments to its
1. Acquisition of Peru Co	The following <b>exhibits</b> , available on the left-hand side of the screen, provide information relevant to the question:	
■ 2. Peru Co: net assets at 1 July 20X5	1. Acquisition of Peru Co – contains information relating to the acquisition and consideration paid for Peru Co.	
	2. Peru Co: net assets at 1 July 20X5 – describes the valuation of the assets of Peru Co at the date of acquisition.	
🖁 3. Columbia Co: pension scheme	3. Columbia Co: pension scheme – explains the defined benefit and the defined contribution pension schemes available to the employees of Columbia Co.	
Requirements	This information should be used to answer the question requirements within your chosen response option(s).	
⊚ Requirements (30 marks)		
Response Options		
■ Word Processor		
E Spreadsheet		

### Scenario 1: requirements

⊚ Requirements (30 marks) 🖶	$\times$
Draft an explanatory note to the directors of Columbia Co to address the following issues:	
(a)(i) whether Columbia Co should be considered the acquirer in a business combination with Peru Co;	marks)
(a)(ii) a calculation of goodwill at 1 July 20X5, explaining how fair values of both the consideration and the net assets been determined; and	s have
(11)	marks)
(b) how the defined benefit and the defined contribution pension schemes should be accounted for in the year end December 20X5.	ded 31
(10)	marks)
<ul> <li>(a)(i) whether Columbia Co should be considered the acquirer in a business combination with Peru Co;</li> <li>(a)(ii) a calculation of goodwill at 1 July 20X5, explaining how fair values of both the consideration and the net assets been determined; and</li> <li>(11 n)</li> <li>(b) how the defined benefit and the defined contribution pension schemes should be accounted for in the year end December 20X5.</li> </ul>	<b>s have</b> marks) <b>ded 31</b>

#### Scenario 1: Exhibits

## Exhibit 1: Acquisition of Peru Co

#### 1. Acquisition of Peru Co

	Page: 1 of 1 — + Automatic Zoom =	•   »
acquir Colum marke interes	I Co is a competitor of Columbia Co. On 1 July 20X5 both Brazil Co and Columbia Co ired 50% of the 5 million ordinary \$1 shares of Peru Co. The consideration paid by nbia Co consisted of cash of \$8 per share and also a 1 for 20 share exchange when the et price of Columbia Co's shares was \$10 each. Brazil Co also paid \$8 per share for their est but did not issue any shares to the original shareholders of Peru Co. The ordinary shares ru Co have one voting right each.	
of Per amend Co an is the develo operat a supe	wing the acquisition, Columbia Co had the contractual right to appoint 60% of the board or Co with the remaining 40% appointed by Brazil Co. Brazil Co has veto rights over any idments to the articles of incorporation and also over the appointment of auditors. Brazil and Columbia Co each appointed one member to Peru Co's senior management team. It is senior manager appointed by Columbia Co who makes the key decisions regarding the opment of Peru Co's new technologies, it's principle revenue stream, the markets that it will ate in and how it is financed. The senior manager appointed by Columbia Co also provides hervisory role and has the right to request that significant activities get board approval, such posing restrictions on Peru Co from undertaking activities that would significantly increase t risk.	

#### Exhibit 2: Peru Co: net assets at 1 July 20X5

2. Peru Co: net assets at 1 July 20X5

ۍ م ا	Page: 1 of 1 — + Automatic Zoom +
	The net assets of Peru Co reported in the individual financial statements had a carrying amount of \$32 million on 1 July 20X5. However, on the acquisition of Peru Co, the directors of Columbia Co discovered the following:
	On 1 January 20X5 Peru Co acquired 6 million 6% coupon bonds for \$6 million in an unquoted company at par (\$1). Bond interest is paid annually on 31 December. Due to a premium on redemption the effective rate of interest was 8%. Peru Co has a business model to collect the contractual cash-flows from the bonds and therefore measures them at amortised cost. Columbia Co holds similar unquoted assets but has a business model whereby they may either collect the contractual cash-flows or sell the asset. Bonds with a similar risk profile for a similar quoted company were trading at \$2 per bond on 1 July 20X5. A discount of 30% is considered reasonable to reflect the difference in liquidity of the two types of bonds.
	One of the identifiable intangible assets of Peru Co at acquisition was a brand. The brand had a carrying amount of \$4 million on 1 July 20X5. Columbia Co has a similar branded product and is therefore planning to discontinue the trade of Peru Co's branded product with immediate effect. The future cash-flows from the Peru Co's product post-acquisition are therefore considered to be \$nil. If the trade of the branded product were to be sold to a competitor in order to continue the trade, it is estimated that it could be sold for around \$5 million.
	Peru Co has several technical support service contracts for which there are outstanding performance obligations at 1 July 20X5. Included in contract liability (deferred income) at this date is a balance of \$2.8 million in respect of these contracts. It is estimated that these contracts will cost \$1.7 million for Peru co (and any other market participants) to complete. A mark-up of 30% is considered reasonable for this type of contract.
	Columbia Co has a policy of measuring the non-controlling interest at fair value.

÷.,

## Exhibit 3: Columbia Co: pension scheme

	Page: 1 of 1 - + Automatic Zoom ÷	🔒   »
the fai value	ibia Co has, for many years, operated a defined benefit pension scheme. A r value of the pension scheme assets were estimated to be \$260 millior of the pension scheme liabilities were \$200 million. The total of the prese Is and reductions in future contributions (asset ceiling) was \$20 million a	n and the present ent value of future
	ble provides details of the scheme for the year ended 31 December 20X ailment to the scheme.	5 when there was
Disco	unt rate on good quality corporate bonds	5%
Cash Benefi Schen	nt service cost contributions its paid during the year ne curtailment (31 December 20X5) ent to employees as settlement for curtailment (paid 31 December 20X5)	\$(millions) 30 21 25 28 ) 16
\$242 r of the	December 20X5 the fair value of the pension scheme assets were million and the present value of the pension scheme liabilities were \$195 present value of future refunds and reductions in future contributions (a illion at 31 December 20X5.	5 million. The total
benefi	bia Co intends all new employees to be offered a defined contribution rath t pension scheme. Contributions of \$0.5 million were paid into a def ne for new employees over the last 3 months of the year.	

#### Scenario 2 – Initial screen

SBR-INT March/June 2021 (20/21 syllabus)			
\$ Symbol 📃 ▼ Highlight ∓ Strikethrough 🖩 Ca	Symbol 🗾 🔻 Highlight ∓ Strikethrough 🖩 Calculator 🖉 Scratch Pad		
Exhibits          Image: Imag	<ul> <li>Bismuth Co is a mining company. Investors in Bismuth Co receive earnings from mining projects as a return on their investment. The year end is 31 December 20X7.</li> <li>The following exhibits, available on the left-hand side of the screen, provide information relevant to the question: <ol> <li>Impairment testing of mines – describes the decommissioning provision associated with Bismuth Co's mines and its potential impact on the impairment of the mines.</li> <li>Class A and B shares – explains the sale of Class A and B shares in exchange for Bitcoin, a cryptocurrency.</li> <li>Blockchain technology – provides information about the ethical issues created by the implementation of Blockchain technology.</li> </ol> </li> <li>This information should be used to answer the question requirements within your chosen response option(s).</li> </ul>		
Response Options           Image: Word Processor           Image: Spreadsheet			

### Scenario 2: requirements

	$\times$
(a) Discuss, with suitable calculations, whether Bismuth Co should recognise an impairment loss for the mine (5	<b>es.</b> marks)
(b) Discuss whether the class A and B shares should be classified as either equity or liability in accordance w 32 Financial Instruments: Presentation. (5)	vith IAS
(c) Discuss the ethical issues raised by the implementation of the blockchain technology for both the chief accountant and the finance director, including any appropriate actions which should be considered to resolve issues.	e these
(8	marks)
Professional marks will be awarded in part (c) for the quality of the discussion. (2	marks)

#### Scenario 2: Exhibits


## Exhibit 1: Impairment testing of mines

At 31 December 20X7, Bismuth Co owns mines which have a carrying amount of The company has committed itself to decommissioning its mines at the end of (five years or less) and has created a decommissioning provision of \$53 million directors are unsure how the decommissioning provision will impact on the imp of the mines. At the end of the useful life of a mine, its reusable components will and sold.	their useful life n. However, the airment testing	
The following information relates to the decommissioning of the mines at 31 Dec	ember 20X7:	
	\$ million	
Carrying amount of decommissioning provision Present value of future cash inflows from:	53	
sale of reusable components at decommission date (inflows)	20	
sale of mining output from 31 December 20X7 to decommission date (inflows) operating costs from 31 December 20X7 to decommission date (outflows)	203 48	

#### Exhibit 2: Class A and B shares

□	
Bismuth Co has issued two classes of shares, class A and class B, in exchange for a cryptocurrency, Bitcoin. Both types of shares permit the holder to vote and give an entitlement to 'rewards'. Bismuth Co has discretion over whether 'rewards' are payable on class A and class B shares. Bitcoin can be readily converted into cash in Bismuth Co's jurisdiction.	
Class A shares are redeemable at par in the event of Bismuth Co obtaining a listing on a formal stock exchange which is highly probable. On listing, Bismuth Co has a choice as to the method of redemption either:	
(i) cash to the value of 1 Bitcoin per 1000 class A shares, or	
(ii) shares to the value of 2 Bitcoins per 1000 class A shares.	
Note: 1 Bitcoin equates to approximately \$12,000	
The share settlement option, option (ii) above, would involve exchanging class A shares for the equivalent number of class B shares. Class B shares have never fluctuated in value.	
Bismuth Co is not compelled to redeem the class B shares but these shares do contain an option allowing Bismuth Co to repurchase them. However, if within two years, Bismuth Co fails to exercise its call option on the class B shares, it must pay an additional reward to the holders of class B shares.	

#### Exhibit 3: Blockchain technology



#### Exam summary screen (continued)

#### **Section B**

SBR-INT March/June 2021 (20/21 syllabus)

#### Section B

This section of the exam contains **two questions**. Each question is worth **25 marks** and is compulsory. This exam section is worth **50 marks** in total.

#### Important:

In your live exam, you should:

- Indicate which requirement each of your responses relate to so that this is clear for markers.
- Show all notes/workings that you want the marker to see within your responses. Remember, any notes/workings made on the Scratch Pad or on your workings paper will not be marked.

Select Next to continue.

#### Scenario 3 – Initial screen


SBR-INT March/June 2021 (20/21 syllabus)		🖵 3 of 4
Symbol 🔽 🔻 Highlight ∓ Strikethrough 🖩 Calculator 🖉 Scratch Pad		🗵 Close All 🏳 Flag for Revie
Exhibits          1. Software contract and updates         1. 2. Part-disposal of Marlett Co         1. 3. Acquisition of Billing Co         Requirements         © Requirements (25 marks)	Sitka Co is a software development company which operates in an industry where technologies change rapidly. Its customers use the cloud to access the software and Sitka Co gr customers for the software license and software updates. It has recently disposed of an interest in a subsidiary, Marlett Co, and purchased a controlling interest in Billing Co. The y December 20X7 The following <b>exhibits</b> , available on the left-hand side of the screen, provide information relevant to the question: 1. Software contract and updates - explains the contract to licence software and other related services to Cent Co. 2. Part-disposal of Marlett Co - describes the accounting in Sitka Co's separate financial statements for the disposal of an interest in a subsidiary, Marlett Co. 3. Acquisition of Billing Co - provides information about the fair values of two assets acquired in a business combination with Billing Co.	
Word Processor       This information should be used to answer the question requirements within the response option provided.		

#### **Scenario 3: requirements**


 $\times$ (a)(i) Discuss whether the four-year software contract with Cent Co is a single performance obligation in accordance with IFRS 15 Revenue from Contracts with Customers including how the revenue from the contract would be accounted for in Sitka Co's financial statements for the year ended 31 December 20X7. Your answer should include whether the revenue should be recognised at a point in time or over time. (8 marks) (a)(ii) Discuss briefly why the right to receive access to Sitka Co's software is unlikely to be accounted for as an intangible asset or a lease in Cent Co's financial statements. (4 marks) (b) Discuss and demonstrate how the disposal of 45% interest and the retained interest of 15% in Marlett Co should be accounted for in the separate financial statements of Sitka Co at the date of disposal. (9 marks) (c) Discuss how the two assets acquired on the acquisition of Billing Co should be valued in accordance IFRS 13 Fair Value Measurement. (4 marks)

#### Scenario 3: Exhibits


#### **Exhibit 1: Software contract and updates**



#### **Exhibit 2: Part-disposal of Marlett Co**



#### **Exhibit 3: Acquisition of Billing Co**



#### Scenario 4 – Initial screen

SBR-INT March/June 2021 (20/21 syllabus)	□ 4 of 4
\$ Symbol 🗾 ▼ Highlight ∓ Strikethrough 🖩 Ca	-
Exhibits          Image: 1. Non-current assets         Requirements         Image: 2. Other networks         Image: 2. Other networks	Colat Co manufactures aluminium products and operates in a region that has suffered a natural disaster on 1 November 20X7. There has been an increase in operating costs as the company had to replace a regional supplier with a more costly international supplier. The year-end of Colat Co is 31 December 20X7. The following <b>exhibits</b> , available on the left-hand side of the screen, provide information relevant to the question: 1. Non-current assets – provides information about the non-current assets destroyed by the natural disaster including the effect on the decommissioning of a power plant. 2. Other natural disaster consequences – describes the potential costs of repairing the environmental damage and the associated government compensation, the nature of the hedge against a commodity price risk in aluminium and potential insurance policy proceeds.
Response Options  Word Processor	This information should be used to answer the question requirements within the response option provided.

### Scenario 4: requirements

Investors need to understand a variety of factors when making an investment decision. The nature of the companies in wh	
to invest is an important consideration, as is the need to incorporate sustainability factors into investment decisions.	ich they are looking
(a) Discuss why sustainability has become an important aspect of the investors' analysis of companies.	
Note: there is no requirement to refer to any exhibit when answering part (a).	(4 marks
Professional marks will be awarded in part (a) for clarity and quality of discussion.	(2 marks
(b) Discuss any events affecting Colat Co which might indicate that an impairment test ought to be conducted in a 36 Impairment of Non-Current Assets.	accordance with IAS
	(3 marks
(c) Discuss how the following should be accounted for in the financial statements for the year ended 31 December	r 20X7:
(i) the destruction of the non-current assets and decommissioning of the power plant;	(4 marks
(ii) the cost of repairing the environmental damage and the potential receipt of government compensation;	(4 marks
(iii) the hedge of the commodity price risk in aluminium; and	(4 marks
(iv) the potential insurance policy proceeds.	(4 marks

#### Scenario 4: Exhibits

#### Exhibit 1: Non-current assets

💾 1. Non-cu	rrent assets	$\boxtimes$
	P ← Page: 1 of 1 - + Automatic Zoom ÷	₽   >
	As a result of the natural disaster, the share price of Colat Co has declined as a significant amount of non-current assets were destroyed, including the manufacturing facility. In addition, Colat Co has suffered reputational damage resulting in a decline in customer demand.	
	The non-current assets of Colat Co that were destroyed had a carrying amount of \$250 million on 31 October 20X7 and the fair value of these non-current assets was \$280 million based on an independent appraisal shortly before that date. In addition, Colat Co determined that a power plant will have to be closed and decommissioned earlier than previously expected. The remaining useful life of the power plant has reduced from 25 years to 8 years. Non-current assets are valued using the cost model.	
-		

#### **Exhibit 2: Other natural disaster consequences**

